

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/640,803	08/18/2000	Toshiaki Kubo	2870-0143P	7282	
75	590 09/23/2002			_	
Birch Stewart Kolasch & Birch LLP			EXAMINER		
P O Box 747 Falls Church, V	A 22040-0747		CHEA, THORL		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1752	0	
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2002	9	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			(WW-		
	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)			
	09/640,803	KUBO, TOSHIAKI			
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thorl Chea	1752			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication a Period f r Reply	pp ars on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of od will apply and will expire SIX (6) N tute, cause the application to become iling date of this communication, eve	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communical ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	lion.		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{0}$					
	This action is non-final.	and the second section on to the morit	e ie		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und	owance except for formal refer <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	natters, prosecution as to the mem C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	.S IS		
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-13</u> is/are pending in the a					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	Irawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-13</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers	iner				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in at	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) [disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome			ation).		
a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional application ha	s been received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Notes	5) Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_·		

Art Unit: 1752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- Claims 1, 3-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing 2. subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification as originally filed fails to provide support for "the first layer on the first side contains a polymer latex in an amount of 50 w% or more of the total binder contained in the first second outermost layer on the second side outermost layer on the first side and contains a polymer latex in an amount of 50 wt % or more of the total binder contained in the second outermost layer on the second side. The specification as originally filed on page 2 discloses "the binder contained in the outermost layer on the same side with the image forming layer or on the opposite side of support contains a polymer latex in an amount of 59 wt % or more of the total binder. The specification as originally filed does not require polymer latex in both outermost layers. Therefore, the use of polymer latex in both outermost layers raise the issue of new matter.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 1752

- 4. Claims 1, 3-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: the support for the image forming layer and the outmost layers are critical for the thermally processed image forming material.
- 5. Claims 1, 3-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The language in claim 1 "(t)he binder contained in the first outermost layer on the first side and the binder contained in the second outermost layer on the second side have the common monomer composition to a degree less than 75 wt %, and the first layer on the first side contains a polymer latex in an amount of 50 w% or more of the total binder contained in the first outermost layer on the first side and the second outermost layer on the second side contains a polymer latex in an amount of 50 wt % or more of the total binder contained in the second outermost layer on the second side" is unclear in view of the claimed language per se and in view of the specification. Fist, the language "common monomer composition to a degree less than 75 wt %" is unclear whether the language is direct to the use of the less than 75 wt % of identical monomer in both first outermost layer and second outermost layer or otherwise. The term "degree" renders the claimed language confusing. The term "wt %" is indefinite in the absence of providing the basis of that percentage, i.e., less than 75 wt % of the binder containing in the first outermost layer and in the second outermost layer or otherwise. Second, it is

unclear whether the "common monomer" is the monomer forming the polymer latex used in the first layer on the first side contains a polymer latex in an amount of 50 w% or more of the total binder contained in the first outermost layer on the first side and the second outermost layer on the second side contains a polymer latex in an amount of 50 wt % or more of the total binder contained in the second outermost layer on the second side" or otherwise. The specification on page 2 second paragraph discloses that language "the common monomer composition to a degree less than 75 wt %", but fail to clearly define the term "common monomer". Thus, the scope of protection sought "common monomer" cannot be determined.

In amendment on page 6 filed on July 2, 2002, the applicants stated that "(I)n any event, the monomer composition in the binder on the same side as the image forming material has less than 75 wt % commonality with the binder on the opposite side of the support". This argument is not clear for the reason set forth above. The invention in claim 1 is related to "monomer", but not common binder used in both layer or polymer used as binder stated in claim 11 such as gelatin, polyvinyl ... and polymer latex.

Claims 3-9 are indefinite as it is unclear with respect to the antecedent basis of the term "the support".

6. The term "degree" in claim 1 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "degree" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Note to term "degree" in the claim 1 "(t)o a degree less than 75 wt %".

Art Unit: 1752

7. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 8. Claims 1, 3-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the thermally processed image forming material having only one side of the support coated with an image forming layer, does not reasonably provide enablement for the material having both side of the support coated with an image forming layer. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to provide the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The specification as a whole is directed to the thermally processed image forming material having only one side of the support coated with an image forming layer.
- 9. Claim 7 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claiming "(t)he binder contained in the outermost layer on the same side with the imaging layer or on the opposite side of the support contains a polymer latex in the amount of 50 w % or more of the total binder fails to further limit the invention in claim 1 which requires polymer latex of 50 wt % or more in each outermost layers.
- 10. The rejections over the prior art set forth in the previous office action is withdrawn in view of the amendment and applicants' argument provided on July 2, 2002.

Art Unit: 1752

Conclusi n

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thorl Chea whose telephone number is (703)308-3498. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 - 6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet C Baxter can be reached on (703)308-2303. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9301 for regular communications and (703)872-9311 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1752

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

tchea Uh September 19, 2002 Thorl Chea Primary Examiner Art Unit 1752